(6)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2724 OF 2003

PETITIONERS : 1. Sabir Ali, son of Shri Mohd.Ali, aged about 33 years.Working as Constable,(Trade Carpenter), posted at Range Police Motor Transport Workshop,Bilaspur, District-Bilaspur,(C.G.).

P. R. No. 25/7/03
Presented by Shri Vinod Deshmukh
date 26/6/03

: 2. Shamlal Uikey,son of Shiv Charan, aged about 42 years.Working as Head Constable,(Trade Carpenter) posted at Range Police,Motor Transport Workshop,Bilaspur, District-Bilaspur,(C.G.)

: 3. Sandeep Shrivastava,son of H.S. Shrivastava,aged about 38 years. Working as Head Constable (M.T.) Police,Motor Transport Workshop, Bilaspur, District-Bilaspur(C.G.)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS : 1. Union of India, through the Secretary,Department of Personnel and Training,Ministry of Home Affairs,New Delhi.

Copy Received
28/8/03
for UOI

: 2. State of Madhya Pradesh, through the Secretary,Department of Home Affairs,Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal,M.P.)

RECEIVED COPY.
28/8/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

: 3. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary,Department of Home Affairs,D.K.S.Bhawan, Mantralaya,Raipur,(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

...2....

(122)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Writ Petition No. 2724 of 2003

Petitioner		Sabir Ali and two others
	Versus	
Respondents		Union of India & others

Shri Rajendra Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for Respondent No. 1.

Shri Sachin Singh Rajput for Respondent No. 2.

Shri Alok Bakshi, Govt. Advocate for the respondent No. 3.

ORAL ORDER

(8th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are working in the Motor Transport Workshop, Bilaspur. They are permanent resident of the State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioners have been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and they want their reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh. Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instruction, submits that the petitioners be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of their grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioners to make an appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur